Opportunities for CalAIM to Support Community Living

The Intersection of the Institutional Long-Term Care Carve-In and Community Supports

May 19, 2022









CalAIM and Institutional Long-Term Care Carve In

Carrie Graham, PhD, MGS, Director of Long-Term Services and Supports, Center for Health Care Strategies

May 19, 2022

Goals of CalAIM

Identify and manage comprehensive needs through whole person care approaches and social drivers of health



- Improve quality outcomes, reduce health disparities and transform the delivery system through value-based initiatives, modernization and payment reform
- Make Medi-Cal a more consistent and seamless system for enrollees to navigate by reducing complexity and increasing flexibility



What is the Institutional LTC Carve-In?

- As of January 1, 2023 institutional care will be a mandatory benefit for all Medi-Cal managed care members
 - → In the 31 counties where institutional LTC is already carved in, Enhanced Care Management and Community Supports will be a value added
 - → In the 27 counties where the carve in will be new, all Medi-Cal members who are in institutions (most currently in FFS) will be enrolled in a managed care plan (MCP)

Institutional LTC Carve-In Status in CA Counties



CalAIM and Institutional Long-Term Care: Lessons for Medi-Cal Managed Care

Goal

→Inform the implementation of the Institutional LTC Carve-In in California by describing the challenges, opportunities, and lessons from California and other states

Approach

- →Key informant interviews
- →Literature review

Authors

→CHCS, Chapman Consulting, Decipher Health Strategies







The Intersection of LTC Carve-In, Enhanced Care Management, and Community Supports



- Community Supports for promoting independent living
 - \rightarrow Respite services
 - →Transition services
 - →Personal care/homemaker
 - →Home modifications
 - →Nutrition/meals

 Enhanced Care Management for institutionalized and at-risk population



Opportunities for LTC Carve-In







Promote equity



Improve ability to transition or divert from institutions to lower levels of care *(alignment with Community Supports)*



LTC Carve-In Opportunities: Improve Access

- Using proactive care coordination to secure a placement for a member at risk for homelessness
 - → The MCP met with hospital discharge planner, facility, and housing services provider before hospital discharge
 - →Ensured that the facility would have a safe place to discharge the patient after post-acute care was complete
- Using financial flexibilities to secure a placement for a member who needs round the clock supervision
 - \rightarrow The MCP allowed the facility to hire a "bedside sitter" and invoice the MCP directly



LTC Carve-In Opportunities: Improve Quality of Care



Payment strategies to incentivize quality

→MCPs reported using value-based payment incentives to pay facilities higher rates when they meet certain quality standards

→ Regional uniformity is important

Adding a layer of oversight

- \rightarrow Some MCPs partnered with the Long-Term Care Ombudsman Office
 - Joint site visits
 - Technical assistance on chart review to identify poor quality or neglect
- \rightarrow Using quality data to be selective about provider networks
 - State and federal survey data
 - Payroll Based Journal staffing data



LTC Carve-In Opportunities: Promote Equity



- Using data to monitor staffing and quality
- Better data reporting on race/ethnicity, as well as other social drivers of care
- MCP contracts can codify expectations for addressing disparities
- Increased oversight of facilities that have a high percentage of residents who are Black, Indigenous, and people of color

Alissa Halperin, Separate and Unconscionable: A Report on Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Pennsylvania's Nursing Homes with Recommendations for Immediate Action, Center for Advocacy for the Rights and Interests of the Elderly (CARIE), August 2021.



LTC Carve-In Opportunities: Improve Ability to Transition/Divert from Institutions



Lessons Learned in California

- →Before admission to a nursing home, coordinate with hospital and nursing home discharge planners to establish a discharge plan
- \rightarrow Proactively assess for members who are at risk for homelessness after a post acute stay
- →Partner with community-based organizations (LTC Ombudsman) to identify members who are good candidates for transitions

Recommendations

- →Include in Health Risk Assessment members' preferences for post-acute care before any hospitalizations occur
- →Use data! The Minimum Data Set 3.0 Section Q allows SNF residents express interest in learning about possibilities for living outside of the nursing facility
- →Establish MCP review boards with placement criteria to vet/authorize placement decisions prior to institutionalization
- \rightarrow Financial incentives/rate setting
- \rightarrow Identify, assess, and support informal caregivers



Incentives to Promote Lower Levels of Care: Rate Setting



Massachusetts Senior Care Options

- → Higher rates for people living in the community who meet a nursing home level of care
- → Upon institutionalization, the payment rates that the MCP receives for those members do not increase for 90 days

→ Higher institutional payment rate continues for 90 days after transition to the community



Incentives to Promote Lower Levels of Care: Incentives and performance metrics



Illinois offers MCPs incentive payments when a member returns to the community from a nursing home due to care coordination efforts by the MCP.



Alabama provides an incentive payments to reward MCPs for overall movement toward increased HCBS Usage.



Texas included MCP performance metrics related to transitions that impacted payments: rates of admission to NFs.



Transitions and Diversions to Community-Based Settings: Housing Supports



Tennessee uses their managed LTSS contracts to require that MCPs have housing specialists to help members transition back to the community, or to help keep members in the community.



Pennsylvania requires MCPs to provide supports to help members at risk of homelessness. They are also required to participate in local housing collaboratives.



Transitions and Diversions to Community-Based Settings: Support for Informal Caregivers



Florida and Rhode Island require MCPs to assess "specific problems, needs, strengths, and resources of the family caregiver, as well as the caregiver's ability to contribute to the needs of the care recipient."



A Minnesota Medicaid HCBS waiver provides reimbursement for a Family Caregiver Coaching and Counseling "intended to equip the caregiver with knowledge, skills, and tools to become a stronger caregiver."







CalAIM Community Supports: Promoting Independent Living Among Older Adults and People with Disabilities May 19, 2022 Brianna Ensslin Janoski, ATI Advisory



Overview

- Our Work
- Community Supports Landscape and Implementation
- Moving Forward



Our Work



Overview

California Health Care Foundation partnered with ATI to support understanding and uptake of six Community Supports promoting independent living for older adults and people with disabilities

Purpose

- Better understand the opportunities and barriers to greater uptake
- Elevate early implementation experiences and considerations

Methods

- Interviews of national and California managed care plans (MCPs)
- Review of existing literature (peer-reviewed & grey), state reports, plan publications, and federal and state regulations

Resources and Tools Available

- Report providing profiles of the six Community Supports aimed at supporting independent living
- Evidence Compendium providing a detailed, sortable collection of literature reviewed for each service



Resources Available to Promote Successful Uptake and Implementation of Community Supports

Full Report



Community Supports Profiles within Report

Community Transition Services / Nursing Facility Transition

EXPERIENCES AND CONSIDERATIONS

MCPs wanting to effectively provide this Community Support may look to lessons learned from nearly 15 years of the Money Follows the Person (MEP) program. States with MFP programs found success bolstering case management services in the community (e.g., assistance locating places to live, connecting utilities, or navigating relationships with landlords), improving access to affordable and accessible housing, and investing in direct care worker recruitment and retention 20 These lassons suggest that MCPs may find similar success deliv ing Community Transition Services / Nursing Facility Transition to Home by offering companion Community Supports, such as Personal Care Services or Home Modifications.

> California Community Transitions (California's MFP program) is to be used before this Community Support option. As a result, some MCPs have delayed implementing this Community Support because of a perceived lack of need. One MCP believes that they have more members in their homes needing supports to prevent avoidable institutionalization than they do members in institu tions who could be safely transitioned home with

the right supports.

to the community with the appropriate supports

Relationship to California Community Transitions Program

munity Transition Services / Nursing Facility Transition to Home Con unity Supports are a unique opport. nity for people who do not qualify for the California Community Transitions program (California's Money Follows the Person [MFP] demonstration to receive a similar set of proven supports to facilitate independent living. Those eligible for California Community Transitions would use that program over this Community Support service.

Community Supports featured in this report

www.chcf.org 14

kely than their non-MFP

CASE STUDY EXAMPLES

Health Plan of San Mateo (HPSM) implemented the

Community Care Settings Program in 2014 to sup-

port dually eligible enrollees transitioning out o

institutions into the community, as well as to help

enrollees at risk of institutional placement remai

in the community. Program staff work with partici-

pants to ensure they are connected to available

community resources to support the transition and

dependent living, including stable housing and

health care services. The program provides coor

dinated case management, purchases services not

otherwise available through alternative funding streams, and identifies, secures, and provides main-

HPSM has found that the process to transition ar

enrollee to the community typically lasts three to six months, with regular meetings between the

care manager, integrated care team, and enrollee

to design a care plan and to identify appropriate

housing. As of September 2019, the latest data

available before the impacts of the COVID-19

pandemic, 289 enrollees had participated in the

program, with 78 enrollees transitioning from a SNF

to the community, 123 from custodial long-term

care to the community, and 88 already in the com

munity receiving supports to age safely at home.

For the cohort remaining in the community for over

six months, a 35% decrease in average per-member

15

per-month costs has been demonstrated.28

tenance services in community housing

ir living arrangement nsition (vs. 62%

Transition Services /

Home Community

through the long-

h began in 2007. A

ved that health care

enrollment and saw

lower rates of unmet

s of return to nursing

: Key Findings

across Medicare and

307 inception through

ider, spending declined

/ lower LTSS expenditures

ommunity six months

it of nursing facilities —

unctional needs pos

ir non-MFP counterparts

after the transition and

6 the second year 20

me and community-

CalAIM Community Supports: Promoting Independent Living Among Older Adults and People with Disabilities

Report, Community Support Profiles, and Evidence Compendium available at https://www.chcf.org/ or directly here



Resources Available to Promote Successful Uptake and Implementation of Community Supports

Evidence Compendium

Background Target Community Supports				Medicaid	Description	Outcomes									
		Transition/Diversion to Assisted Living Facilities e.g., help moving into ALFr	Community Transition Services / Nursing Facility Transition to Home e.g., help securing rental housing in the community- for current NF residents.	personal care for people with inadequate	Environmental Accessibility Adaptations (Home Modifications) e.g., device installation in home after medical professional certifies need.	Medically Supportive Food/Meals/Med ically-Tallored Meals e.g. people with chronic illness get meals delivers are suggested by registered dietition.	In-Lieu-Of Services Policies in Genet	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Stort Outcome	Finding: Cost Savings 🖤	Finding: Delayed Institutionalization		Finding: Improved Health/Function	Finding: Caregiver Benefits	Finding: Quality of Life or y Satisfaction
Volume of Home and Community- Based Services and Time to Nursing- Home Placement				Yes		Yes		Researchers analyzed whether volume of Home and Community-Based Service (HCBS) impacts risk of transitioning from long-term services and supports (LTSS) through HCBS to LTSS in nursing home.	Every additional 5 hours of personal care services (PCS) was associated with decreased risk of nursing home placement by 5% Every additional 5 hours of homemaking decreased risk of nursing home placement by 13%.		Positive				
Use of Adult Day Care Service Centers in an Ethnically Diverse Sample of Older Adults	Yes			-				Authors studied perceived and for and use of adult day among (AOS) in a low-income, majority Hispanic (SO 21%) population.	That of a company to had perceived need for AGS, but cays (25) of analyses used the services. There were use ingelfactual differences in patient gender, age checking, company matterialismaking that perceived that the service or check, or patient income for AGS users versus non-users. However, but AGS in that users were higher functioning but with lower enceptions hat users were higher functioning but with lower associations, sutstatically significant associations, were found between comparison income and AGS companed to only 8% of the high-income families.					Neutral	_
Final Report: Adult Day Services Quality and Outcomes Study	Yes				-			Navigant helped Minnesota Department of Health Services study current and future AOS models, as mandated by state legislature. Report summaited observations and recommendations, including recommendation on how to monitor impact and outcomes of ADS.	expressed challenges of offering individualized attention to						
Daily Stressors and Adult Day Service Use by Family Caregivers: Effects on Depressive Symptoms	Yes				-			Researchers studied saliva of caregivers to identify stress biomarkers and interviewed caregivers each night, to assess impact of ADS on stress levels.					-	Positive	
Benefits of Adult Day Services for Dementia Caregivers: A Systematic Review	Yes							Author conducted a literature review to identify benefits of ADS and whether ADS are effective as respite care.	Literature generally supported that ADS can benefit physical, emotional and psychological well-being of caregivers. The only study reviewed regarding cost found savings due to ADS for dementia patients.	Positiva				Positive	
National Adult Day Services Association (NADSA) Research Committee Annotated Bibliography (Infographic)	Yes							NADSA Research Committee developed annotated bibliography of retearch in ADS over past 10 years and created infographic to document content of bibliography.	Between 47 and 65% of ADS centers offer health care services, including skilled nursing, physical therapy. Occupational therapy, and speech language pathology services. High majority of ADS centers offer transportation.						

Report, Community Support Profiles, and Evidence Compendium available at <u>https://www.chcf.org/</u> or directly <u>here</u>



Community Supports Landscape and Implementation



Community Supports are Medicaid In-Lieu of Services Providing MCPs with Flexibility to Meet Member's Non-Medical, Long-Term Services and Supports Needs

In California, Community Supports, or ILOS, are:

לח

DACTION IN HEALTHCARE & AGIN

Cost-effective, medically-appropriate alternatives for services of settings under the Medicaid State Plan,

Optional for MCPs to offer; optional for members to accept,

Able to be added or removed by MCPs at defined intervals: every 6 months for an addition and annually for a removal,

Pre-approved by DHCS for MCPs to offer, though MCPs may apply to obtain approval for additional services,

Built on and scale existing Medi-Cal programs and services (e.g., Whole Person Care, Health Homes).

Initial Community Supports Uptake

Uptake of independent living supports are overall more limited

	# Medi-Cal MCPs	# Counties
Housing Transition Navigation Services	24	39
Housing Tenancy and Sustaining Services	23	39
Medical Respite	21	22
Meals	21	46
Housing Deposits	16	44
Sobering Centers	11	11
Asthma Remediation	11	36
Short-Term Post-Hospitalization Housing	10	16
Home Modifications	10	34
NF Transition/Diversion to ALFs	6	6
Community Transition Services/NF Transition to a Home	6	6
Personal Care and Homemaker Services	6	8
Respite Services	5	4
Day Habilitation	2	13



Source: <u>CalAIM Community Supports - Managed Care Plan Elections</u> (PDF), DHCS, last updated January 25, 2022.

MCP Considerations of and Decisions to Offer Specific Community Supports Varied but Included Similar Themes

Cost-effectiveness	experi Communit	oility of ienced y Supports iders	Responsibility for other LTSS or care settings			
Duplicative or overlapping services	provide	aff and er staff al capacity	Uptake of Community Supports by delegated MCPs			
Ability to additio Community every six	ons to Supports	guida	nd clarity of nce and ve funds			



Using Community Supports to Promote Independent Living



Scenario 1

DEAS TO ACTION IN HEAT THEARE & AGINE



Scenario 2





Moving Forward to Advance Community Supports



The Opportunity of the Providing Access and Transforming Health (PATH) Program

DHCS will issue \$1.44 billion in funding through PATH to maintain, build, and scale the capacity necessary to ensure successful implementation of CalAIM, from 2022 through 2026

\$1.29 billion will go toward:

Bridge funding payments in 2022 and 2023 to former WPC providers delivering similar services under Community Supports Planning and implementing crosssector efforts for collaboration needed for ECM and Community Supports among MCPs, Community Supports providers, and others

Technical assistance to Community Supports providers and to county and tribal agencies Developing infrastructure among ECM and Community Supports providers



DHCS Recommendations for Consideration

To further promote uptake of Community Supports, DHCS could consider several opportunities to support implementation:

Continue assisting and sharing detailed guidance and information for MCPs and providers interested in offering Community Supports (e.g., detailed guidance on reasonable variation to determine cost-effectiveness based on cost of living).

2

Explore opportunities to offer Technical Assistance to Community Supports providers to strengthen their infrastructure around coding for claims and encounters.



MCP Recommendations for Consideration

To foster successful implementation, MCPs should continue engaging and collaborating with DHCS, other MCPs, and providers in the following ways:

Share with DHCS and other MCPs best practices and successes with early implementation, as well as challenges and barriers to uptake and use of Community Supports.

2

Identify potential gaps in services and collaborate with other MCPs to collectively solve shared issues.

3

Engage with Community Supports providers to help them access PATH dollars to build equitable capacity across the state.

Work with health care providers to integrate Community Supports into the standard care planning process.





Reach out to us at: info@ATIAdvisory.com



Visit us at: <u>ATIAdvisory.com</u>



Follow us on: LinkedIn



